SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 4034/06
Presented by Shri Manoj Chauhan
dated 27/12/06

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 3964 /2006

PETITIONER : Ramphal Sahu, S/o. Shri Sant Ram Sahu, age 50 years, R/o. Adim Jati Kalyan Vibhag, P.S. Sirli, Block – Pali, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Commissioner, Adim jati Tatha-Anusuchit Jati Development Department, Raipur (C.G.).
3. District Collector, Korba (C.G.).
4. Jeevan Lal Kashyap S/o. Not known, Age 55 years, R/o. Primary School Baira, P.S. Podi Uproda, Korba (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
27/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P..No..3964 सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

35

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**08-08-2006**

Shri Rahul Jha, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 06.07.2006 (Annexure-P/1) passed by respondent No.3, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Primary School, Sirki, Pali to Primary School, Baira, Podi Uproda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned transfer order is not executable, as the petitioner has been shown to be transferred from Primary School, Sriki, whereas the petitioner is working in Primary School, Sirli. In the circumstances, learned counsel further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents No.1 to 3 has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the instant writ petition within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the same within a period of one month from the date of its receipt, considering

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**आदेश पत्रक**

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P. No. 3964 **सन्** **2006**

(36)

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law.<br>With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.<br>Consequently, M.(W.). P. No.3084/2006 and I.A. No.7484/2006 also stand disposed of.<br>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.<br>**Sd/-**<br>**L.C. Bhadoo**<br>**Judge** | |

Soma

14-8-06

(पीछे देखिये)